



October 19, 2018

**Via FOIA Online**

National Freedom of Information Officer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T)  
Washington, DC 20460  
(202) 566-1667

**Re: Freedom of Information Act Request for Records  
Regarding EPA's Approval of Premanufacture Notices for  
New Chemical Substances**

Dear FOIA Officer:

I write on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) to request disclosure of records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and applicable Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 2.100-2.406

**I. Description of Records Sought**

On October 9, 2018, EPA's Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) issued a series of determinations finding that various chemical substances described in premanufacture notices were "not likely to present an unreasonable risk" under Section 5 of the Toxic Substances Control Act. For each of these substances, OPPT had made an interim determination that the chemical presented risk concerns under reasonably foreseeable conditions of use. OPPT changed its assessment for each chemical based on proposed significant new use rules supposedly restricting the offending reasonably foreseeable uses. On October 16, 2018, EPA published a Federal Register notice concerning the proposed significant new use rules for these chemicals.

Please produce records of the following types in EPA's possession, custody or control:

- i. All records for the following premanufacture submission case numbers:
  - a. Case number P-16-0581

**NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL**

1152 15TH STREET NW | SUITE 300 | WASHINGTON, DC | 20005 | T 202.289.6868 | NRDC.ORG

- b. Case number P-16-0575
- c. Case number P-16-0483
- d. Case number P-16-0484
- e. Case number P-16-0380
- f. Case number P-16-0381
- g. Case number P-16-0382
- h. Case number P-16-0383
- i. Case number P-16-0384
- j. Case number P-16-0385
- k. Case number P-16-0354
- l. Case number P-16-0355
- m. Case number P-16-0192

2. All records of any communication between any EPA employee, including but not limited to Jeffery Morris, Tala Henry, and Nancy Beck, and any individual, organization, corporation, or other party outside of EPA concerning the promulgation of significant new use rules for the chemical substances identified by the case numbers above. The timeframe for this request is January 1, 2018 through the date of the processing of this request.

In this request, the term “records” means anything denoted by the use of that word or its singular form in the text of FOIA and includes correspondence, minutes of meetings, memoranda, notes, emails, social media posts, text messages, notices, facsimiles, charts, tables, presentations, orders, filings, and other writings (handwritten, typed, electronic, or otherwise produced, reproduced, or stored). This request seeks responsive records in the custody of any EPA office, including, but not limited to, EPA Headquarters.

Note that this request specifically seeks responsive records in or on the personal computers, cellphones or other devices, or personal email accounts used by EPA staff if used for any government purpose.

## **II. Request for a Fee Waiver**

NRDC requests that EPA waive any fee it would otherwise charge for search and production of the records described above. FOIA dictates that requested records be provided without charge “if disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); *see also* 40 C.F.R. §2.107(l)(1). The requested disclosure would meet both of these requirements.

### **A. NRDC Satisfies the First Fee Waiver Requirement**

The disclosure requested here would be “likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1). Each of the four factors used by EPA to evaluate the first fee waiver requirement indicates that a fee waiver is appropriate for this request. *See* 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2).

#### **1. Subject of the request**

The records requested here relate to government operations or activities. This request seeks information about federal agency approvals authorizing the unrestricted manufacturing and distribution in commerce of chemical substances subject to regulation under federal law. The requested records thus directly concern “the operations or activities of the government.” 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(i).

#### **2. Informative value of the information to be disclosed**

The requested records are “likely to contribute to” the public’s understanding of government operations and activities, 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(ii). The public does not currently possess information about the approval process for these chemical substances, including why EPA determined that the reasonably foreseeable uses presenting risk concerns were unlikely to commence while significant new use rules are promulgated, and why EPA believes it is appropriate to defer consideration of reasonably foreseeable uses of the chemical substances. There is more than a reasonable likelihood that these records have informative value to the public. *See Citizens for Responsibility & Ethics in Washington v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.*, 481 F. Supp. 2d 99, 109 (D.D.C. 2006). EPA itself believes that the subject matter of this request has informative value to the public, because EPA provides regular public updates on the status of premanufacture notice submissions. The communications between EPA and third

parties over these submissions, and the information contained in the submissions themselves, have equal or greater informative value.

3. *Contribution to an understanding of the subject by the public is likely to result from disclosure*

NRDC's extensive communications capabilities, and proven history of dissemination of information of public interest—including information obtained from FOIA records requests—indicate that NRDC has the ability and will to use disclosed records to reach a broad audience of interested persons with any relevant and newsworthy information the records reveal. There is a strong likelihood that disclosure of the requested records will increase public understanding of the subject matter. *See Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Rossotti*, 326 F.3d 1309, 1314 (D.C. Cir. 2003) (finding that a requester that specified multiple channels of dissemination and estimated viewership numbers demonstrated a likelihood of contributing to public understanding of government operations and activities).

NRDC intends to disseminate any newsworthy information in the released records and its analysis of such records to its member base and to the broader public, through one or more of the many communications channels referenced below. NRDC has frequently disseminated newsworthy information to the public for free, and does not intend to resell the information requested here. NRDC's more than one million members and online activists are "a reasonably broad audience of persons interested in the subject" of agency communications about these premanufacture submissions and EPA's implementation of the Toxic Substances Control Act. 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(2)(iii). When combined with NRDC's communications to the public at large, the likely audience of interested persons to be reached is certainly "reasonably broad." *Id.* As NRDC's long history of incorporating information obtained through FOIA into reports, articles, and other communications illustrates, NRDC is well prepared to convey to the public any relevant information it obtains through this records request.

NRDC has the ability to disseminate information collected from this FOIA request through many channels. These include, but are not limited to the following:

- NRDC's website, available at <http://www.nrdc.org>, is updated daily and draws approximately 1.3 million page views and 510,000 unique visitors per month. The new NRDC.org launched in late March 2016 and features NRDC staff blogs, original reporting of environmental news stories, and more.
- NRDC's Activist email list includes more than 1 million members and online activists who receive regular communications on urgent environmental issues.

This information is also made available through NRDC's online Action Center at <https://www.nrdc.org/actions>.

- NRDC This Week is a weekly electronic environmental newsletter distributed by email to more than 86,700 subscribers, at <http://www.nrdc.org/newsletter>.
- NRDC updates and maintains several social media accounts: Facebook (891,000 followers), Twitter (291,000 followers), and Instagram (135,000 followers). We also use Medium as another distribution channel for our content (6,000 followers).

NRDC issues press releases, issue papers, and reports; directs and produces movies, such as *Sonic Sea*, *Stories from the Gulf*, and *Acid Test*, narrated by Rachel McAdams, Robert Redford, and Sigourney Weaver, respectively; participates in press conferences and interviews with reporters and editorial writers; distributes content on Huffington Post; and has more than fifty staff members dedicated to communications work.

NRDC employees provide Congressional testimony; appear on television, radio, and web broadcasts and at conferences; and contribute to numerous national newspapers, magazines, academic journals, other periodicals, and books. A few examples are provided below:

- Research article, "The requirement to rebuild US fish stocks: Is it working?" *Marine Policy*, July 2014 (co-authored by NRDC Oceans Program Senior Scientist Lisa Suatoni and Senior Attorney Brad Sewell);
- Issue brief, "The Untapped Potential of California's Water Supply: Efficiency, Reuse, and Stormwater," June 2014 (co-authored by NRDC Water Program Senior Attorney Kate Poole and Senior Policy Analyst Ed Osann); *see also* "Saving Water in California," *N.Y. Times*, July 9, 2014 (discussing the report's estimates);
- Article, "Waves of phony charges over new clean water safeguards," *The Hill*, June 17, 2014 (by NRDC Executive Director Peter Lehner);
- Article, "Don't Buy the Smear of the EPA," *L.A. Times*, June 3, 2014 (by NRDC President Frances Beinecke);
- Transcript, "Conservationists Call For Quiet: The Ocean Is Too Loud!" Nat'l Pub. Radio, *All Things Considered*, July 28, 2013 (featuring NRDC Marine Mammal Protection Program Director Michael Jasny);
- Testimony of David Doniger, NRDC Climate and Air Program Policy Director and Senior Attorney, before the United States House Subcommittee on Energy and Power, June 19, 2012;
- Article, "Is there a 'proper level' of compliance with environmental law?" *Trends: ABA Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources Newsletter*, Jan./Feb.

- 2008 (authored by NRDC Senior Attorney Michael Wall);
- NRDC Document Bank, <http://docs.nrdc.org/>.

NRDC routinely uses FOIA to obtain information from federal agencies that NRDC legal and scientific experts analyze in order to inform the public about a variety of issues, including energy policy, climate change, wildlife protection, nuclear weapons, pesticides, drinking water safety, and air quality. Some specific examples are provided below:

- (1) In April 2014, NRDC relied on FOIA documents for a report on potentially unsafe chemicals added to food, without the safety oversight of the Food and Drug Administration or the notification of the public. The report, titled *Generally Recognized as Secret: Chemicals Added to Food in the United States*, reveals concerns within the agency about several chemicals used as ingredients in food that manufacturers claim are “generally recognized as safe”. See also Kimberly Kindy, “Are secret, dangerous ingredients in your food?” *Wash. Post*, Apr. 7, 2014 (discussing NRDC’s report).
- (2) NRDC obtained, through FOIA, FDA review documents on the nontherapeutic use of antibiotic additives in livestock and poultry feed. In January 2014, NRDC published a report, titled *Playing Chicken with Antibiotics*, which is based on the documents obtained, and reveals decades of hesitancy on FDA’s part to ensure the safety of these drug additives. See also P.J. Huffstutter and Brian Grow, “Drug critic slams FDA over antibiotic oversight in meat production,” *Reuters*, Jan. 27, 2014 (discussing NRDC’s report).
- (3) NRDC has used White House documents obtained through FOIA and from other sources to inform the public about EPA’s decision not to protect wildlife and workers from the pesticide atrazine in the face of industry pressure to keep atrazine on the market. See *Still Poisoning the Well: Atrazine Continues to Contaminate Surface Water and Drinking Water in the United States*, <http://www.nrdc.org/health/atrazine/files/atrazine10.pdf> (Apr. 2010) (update to 2009 report); see also William Souder, “It’s Not Easy Being Green: Are Weed-Killers Turning Frogs Into Hermaphrodites?” *Harper’s Bazaar*, Aug. 1, 2006 (referencing documents obtained and posted online by NRDC).
- (4) NRDC incorporated information obtained through FOIA into a report, available at <http://www.nrdc.org/wildlife/marine/sound/contents.asp>, on the impacts of military sonar and other industrial noise pollution on marine life.

See *Sounding the Depths II: The Rising Toll of Sonar, Shipping and Industrial Ocean Noise on Marine Life* (Nov. 2005) (update to 1999 report). The report also relied upon and synthesized information from other sources. Since the report's publication, the sonar issue has continued to attract widespread public attention. See, e.g., "Protest Raised over New Tests of Naval Sonar," Nat'l Pub. Radio, All Things Considered, July 24, 2007.

- (5) NRDC scientists have used information obtained through FOIA to publish analyses of the United States' and other nations' nuclear weapons programs. In 2004, for example, NRDC scientists incorporated information obtained through FOIA into a feature article on the United States' plans to deploy a ballistic missile system and the implications for global security. See Hans M. Kristensen, Matthew G. McKinzie, and Robert S. Norris, "The Protection Paradox," *Bulletin of Atomic Scientists*, Mar./Apr. 2004.
- (6) NRDC obtained through FOIA, and made public, records of the operations of the Bush administration's Energy Task Force, along with analysis of selected excerpts and links to the administration's index of withheld documents. NRDC's efforts cast light on an issue of considerable public interest. See, e.g., Elizabeth Shogren, "Bush Gets One-Two Punch on Energy," *L.A. Times*, Mar. 28, 2002, at A22.
- (7) Through FOIA, NRDC obtained a memorandum by ExxonMobil, advocating the replacement of the sitting head of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, and used the document to help inform the public about what may have been behind the Bush administration's decision to replace Dr. Robert Watson. See NRDC Press Release and attached Exxon memorandum, "Confidential Papers Show Exxon Hand in White House Move to Oust Top Scientist from International Global Warming Panel," Apr. 3, 2002; Elizabeth Shogren, "Charges Fly Over Science Panel Pick," *L.A. Times*, Apr. 4, 2002, at A19.
- (8) Through FOIA and other sources, NRDC obtained information on nationwide levels of arsenic in drinking water and used it in a report, *Arsenic and Old Laws* (2000), available in print and online at <http://www.nrdc.org/water/drinking/arsenic/aolinx.asp>. The report guided interested members of the public on how to learn more about arsenic in their own drinking water supplies. *Id.*; see also Steve LaRue, "EPA Aims to Cut Levels of Arsenic in Well Water," *San Diego Union-Tribune*, June 5, 2000, at B1 (referencing NRDC report).

As these examples demonstrate, NRDC has a proven ability to digest, synthesize, and quickly disseminate information gleaned from FOIA requests to a broad audience of interested persons. Therefore, the requested records disclosure is likely to contribute to the public's understanding of the subject.

4. *Significance of the contribution to public understanding*

The records requested shed light on matters of considerable public interest and concern: EPA policy governing the review of potentially toxic chemical substances. Disclosure would also help the public understand the nature and extent of any communications with outside parties about the approval process for these chemicals. There have already been press reports discussing EPA's approval process for these chemicals. *See* "Despite Suggestion, EPA Floats First SNURs Using Streamlined Framework," Oct. 18, 2018. And disclosure would help the public to better understand and evaluate the basis for the agency's public statements regarding these chemicals. Public understanding of these topics would be significantly enhanced by disclosure of the requested records.

**B. NRDC Satisfies the Second Fee Waiver Requirement**

Disclosure in this case would also satisfy the second prerequisite of a fee waiver request because NRDC does not have any commercial interest that would be furthered by the requested disclosure. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(1), (3). NRDC is a not-for-profit organization and does not act as a middleman to resell information obtained under FOIA. "Congress amended FOIA to ensure that it be 'liberally construed in favor of waivers for noncommercial requesters.'" *Rossotti*, 326 F.3d at 1312 (internal citation omitted); *see Natural Res. Def. Council v. United States Envtl. Prot. Agency*, 581 F. Supp. 2d 491, 498 (S.D.N.Y. 2008). NRDC wishes to serve the public by reviewing, analyzing, and disclosing newsworthy and presently non-public information about the subject of this request. As noted above, work done by EPA on this topic relates to a matter of considerable public interest and concern. Disclosure of the requested records will contribute significantly to public understanding of the underlying subject matter.

**III. Willingness to Pay Fees Under Protest**

Please provide the records requested above regardless of your fee waiver decision. In order to expedite a response, NRDC will, if necessary and under protest, pay fees in accordance with EPA's FOIA regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(c)(1)(iv) for all or a



portion of the requested records. See 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l)(4). Please contact me before doing anything that would cause the fee to exceed \$250. NRDC reserves its rights to seek administrative or judicial review of any fee waiver denial.

#### **IV. Conclusion**

Please email or (if it is not possible to email) mail the requested records to me at the NRDC office address listed below. Please send them on a rolling basis; EPA's search for—or deliberations concerning—certain records should not delay the production of others that EPA's has already retrieved and elected to produce. See generally 40 C.F.R. § 2.104 (describing response deadlines). If EPA concludes that any of the records requested here are publicly available, please let me know.

Please do not hesitate to call or email with questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,



---

Thomas Zimpleman  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
1152 15th Street NW Suite 300  
Washington, DC  
(202) 289-6868  
TZimpleman@nrdc.org